



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

# WARNING

THIS FILE IS THE PROPERTY OF THE  
WASHINGTON CORRECTIONS CENTER FOR WOMEN  
INTELLIGENCE & INVESTIGATIONS OFFICE

CONTENTS MAY BE DISCLOSED ONLY TO PERSONS WHOSE  
OFFICIAL DUTIES REQUIRE ACCESS. CONTENTS MAY NOT BE  
DISCLOSED TO THE PARTY(S) CONCERNED NOR TO COUNSEL,  
WITHOUT SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

CASE TOPIC: STAFF MISCONDUCT EW LARRY YOUNG

CASE #: 010-049-03-f01

DATE OPENED: 10/06/03

DATE CLOSED: 10/16/03

sign and date at time of review or receipt

IN OFFICE REVIEW	CASE OUT REVIEW	COPY AUTHORIZATION
		<i>Copy for Disclosure</i> <i>8/29/07</i> <i>9/18/07 L. Kelly</i>

CONFIDENTIAL

**CONFIDENTIAL**

# **Washington State Correction Center for Women**



**Intelligence & Investigations**

**Case File**

**10-049-03-F01**


**CONFIDENTIAL**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

Washington Corrections Center for Women  
Intelligence & Investigations  
Investigative Case  
#10-049-03-F01

October 16, 2003

TO: Belinda D. Stewart  
Superintendent, WCCW

FROM: Steven B. Baxter   
Investigator, WCCW

SUBJECT: Possible Staff Misconduct RE: AC Cook Larry Young

SYNOPSIS: On 10/06/03 a case of possible staff misconduct was opened at WCCW. This case involved accusations of sexual contact between Inmate [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED] and AC Cook Larry Young. Inmate [REDACTED] came forward with the accusations to Food Manager Santos Lamas after Mr. Young wrote her a major infraction for what he thought was a threat towards him in the serving line (see attachment 1 - infraction and statements). Mr. Lamas then notified I&I and escorted her to the MI visiting room along with Asst. Food Manager Eddie Garbitt.

Inmate [REDACTED] felt that the infraction was in retaliation for calling their relationship off. Two other inmates (Inmate [REDACTED] and Inmate [REDACTED]) were named by Inmate [REDACTED] who would confirm the accusations. AC Young was placed on home assignment on 10/07/03 until completion of a preliminary investigation, to include polygraphs on Inmate [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. After the completion of the investigation and the inconclusive results of the polygraphs AC Cook Larry Young was returned to work on 10/16/03.

INVESTIGATIVE INTERVIEWS:

[REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED] was interviewed on 10/06/03 and stated that she had been in a relationship with Larry Young for about three years but had ended it about a year before. Inmate [REDACTED] stated that the following had occurred during that time:

- Kissing by both parties
- Touching by both parties
- Presents/Contraband to include perfume, chocolate, and body spray.

Inmate [REDACTED] denied ever having sex with Larry Young during this initial interview. Inmate [REDACTED] stated that she had no notes or letters from him nor had she ever made any phone calls to him during the relationship. Inmate [REDACTED] also felt that the infraction might have been caused by jealousy due to her recent relationship with another AC (no longer working at WCCW). Inmate [REDACTED] claims that Mr. Young said, "Did you let him touch you, I'll kick his ass." Inmate [REDACTED] stated that she would take a polygraph.

Inmate [REDACTED] received a polygraph on 10/08/03 and was asked two relevant questions with the following results:

- "Has Larry young ever performed oral sex on you?" (This question was asked due to the fact that Inmate [REDACTED] stated it had happened and Inmate [REDACTED] confirmed it in pre-testing questioning. RESULTS - she was attempting deception when she answered yes.
- "Has Larry Young ever kissed you?" RESULTS - she was attempting deception when she answered yes

# CONFIDENTIAL

Page 2

CASE #10-049-03-F01

Staff Misconduct RE: Larry Young

10/16/03

The polygraph was conducted by GSR Polygraph services and rechecked by a second examiner (see attachment 2 – polygraph results).

Inmate [REDACTED] stated during an interview with WCCW Investigator Kelly Kelly that Mr. Young and Inmate [REDACTED] liked each other for about three years. Inmate [REDACTED] claims that several years ago he had a birthday party for I/M [REDACTED] and had chicken and cake. I/M [REDACTED] also claims to have seen Inmate [REDACTED] rub Mr. Young's leg. I/M [REDACTED] stated that Inmate [REDACTED] claims she had intercourse with Mr. Young. Inmate [REDACTED] claims to have conversations with Mr. Young when he said the following:

- I love [REDACTED] and "I would fuck with her on the outside."
- When asked if he would leave his wife for [REDACTED] Mr. Young is supposed to have answered "I don't know."

Inmate [REDACTED] agreed to a polygraph exam, which was administered on 10/14/03 and was asked two relevant questions with the following results:

- Did you see Inmate [REDACTED] ever rub Larry Young's leg? Results – she was not attempting deception when she answered yes
- Did Larry Young ever tell you that he loved Inmate [REDACTED]? Chart recordings were not adequate to form an opinion as to the truth of her response of yes.

Inmate [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED] - stated that she had been told by Inmate [REDACTED] the following information:

- Relationship had been on-going for three years
- She had had oral sex with Mr. Young, he had performed the act on her this occurred two years ago on her birthday
- Larry had told her that he would leave his wife for her
- Had brought her perfume and candy
- Had fixed her watch on the outside and then brought it back in

When questioned on what she had seen for herself Inmate [REDACTED] stated that she had seen them leaving the freezer together and had seen him touch her on one occasion on the hips. Inmate [REDACTED] never saw Mr. Young give Inmate [REDACTED] any of the contraband stated above not heard any words of endearment. Inmate [REDACTED] did state she had seen them flirting, but when pressed for examples she stated, "you know looks, joking around." Inmate [REDACTED] also stated that there had been a contest between her, Mr. Young and Inmate [REDACTED] to see who could lose the most weight. The bet was that the loser would shave their eyebrows off. Mr. Young lost the bet but would not shave his eyebrows so instead made a special chicken dinner for the kitchen crew. I/M [REDACTED] stated that I/M [REDACTED] kept track of the contest on her computer. A search of I/M [REDACTED] computer and computer disks produced a 3.5 disk with a file entitled Larry's and [REDACTED] Weight Loss Chart. This file was created on Feb 13, 2003 and last modified on Oct 8, 2003.

AC Cook Alfred Nichols – was interviewed on 10/08/03 and stated that he had never seen Mr. Young or Inmate [REDACTED] flirt or be inappropriate while in the kitchen. Mr. Nichols stated that on one occasion he had found them in the freezer but were not close together.

# CONFIDENTIAL

Page 3

CASE #10-049-03-F01

Staff Misconduct RE: Larry Young

10/16/03

Asst. Food Manager Eddie Garbitt – was interviewed on 10/08/03 and stated that while he had never seen him inappropriate with any inmate he had seen him making food for the inmates but not just for a few, he would make it for the whole crew (see written statement attachment 4).

CCCC Food Manager Bernice Blowers – was interviewed by phone on 10/09/03 and stated that during her time at WCCW she had never seen Mr. Young be sexually inappropriate with any inmate although he had been written up several times for the way he talked to the inmates – cussing etc.

WCCW Food Manager Santos Lamas – was interviewed on 10/08/03 and stated that he had never seen Mr. Young inappropriate with any inmate.

On 10/10/03 the following inmates approached Inv. Baxter in the Mi Kitchen:

- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] DOC# [REDACTED]

These inmates wanted to say that they had all worked in the kitchen for varying times from years to months and had never seen Mr. Young treat I/M [REDACTED] any different than any other inmate. They stated that they had never seen him flirt with her but just “kicked” it with her like he does with all inmates. They did state that he yells at them but that’s just Larry.

Inmate [REDACTED] DOC# [REDACTED] was interviewed on 10/10/03 and stated that there was a lot of playing between Mr. Young and I/M [REDACTED] but that she had never seen any physical contact. Inmate [REDACTED] stated that I/M [REDACTED] showed her a bottle of Victoria’s secret perfume that she claimed Mr. Young gave to her.

AC Cook Larry Young was interviewed on 10/7/03 and stated that he never had a relationship with Inmate [REDACTED]. Mr. Young denied ever touching Inmate [REDACTED]. Mr. Young did admit to having a bet/contest with Inmate [REDACTED] and Inmate [REDACTED] to see who could lose the most weight. If I/M [REDACTED] lost she would shave her eyebrows if he lost he would cook them a special dinner. Mr. Young said he did lose and did cook the dinner but that it was for the whole kitchen staff not just I/M [REDACTED]. Mr. Young also denied ever bringing any contraband to I/M [REDACTED].

**Concluding Synopsis:** From information and witnesses supplied by Inmate [REDACTED] the case of a physical/sexual relationship with Mr. Larry Young is inconclusive. Inmate [REDACTED] polygraph exam showed she was being deceptive in her answers to questions of physical contact and other witnesses were not decisive in their views on the relationship. From certain witnesses it might be derived that certain touching might have occurred but with the amount of inmate workers in the MI Kitchen to have only two come forward with information decreases the viability of their claims. It has been shown however that Mr. Young did participate in a weight loss contest and when he lost made a special dinner for the inmates in the kitchen. Numerous inmates as mentioned in this investigation also state that Mr. Young could be verbally abusive in his interactions with them in the kitchen. This case is closed pending any further developments or evidence.

CONFIDENTIAL

NOTE: An ECR investigation has been initiated due to four grievances from inmates and to look into the allegations of the weight contest and his cooking dinner for the inmates

CONFIDENTIAL

Offender Name [REDACTED]

DOC # [REDACTED]

Hearing Date/Time	
10/8/03	TBA

Infraction Date
10/2/03

OBTS Codes				

DataBase 10-6-03

OBTS - - -

Narrative Dismissed

INF Hold 10-6-03

2003.3796



STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS



**SERIOUS INFRACTION REPORT**

Institution: Washington Correction Center for Women

**STAFF REPORT**

Name: [REDACTED] DOC #: [REDACTED] Date: 10/2/03  
Number of rule(s) violated: 506 Time: 17:49  
Place: Dining hall M1

Details in full:

At approximately 1749 hours, inmate [REDACTED] DOC # [REDACTED] stated in the dinner line "Did you remove my soy sauce from my salad?" I replied, "Yes, I did." Inmate [REDACTED] stated "That's alright, I've got something for you." I perceived this as a threatening statement.

Witnesses:

Young, Larry D. Young, Larry D.  
REPORTING STAFF (PLEASE PRINT) REPORTING STAFF SIGNATURE

**FACT FINDING DURING HEARING**

Was inmate informed of right to remain silent?  Yes  No Date of Hearing: 10/6/03

PLEA: NOT GUILTY: 506 GUILTY: \_\_\_\_\_

Did the inmate make statement after being informed of his/her rights?  Yes  No

If so, what? The statement is only in part not full. I asked him if he removed my soy sauce from my salad. He said "yes." I told him I would deal with Mr. Lamas about this. I did not threaten him physically.

**DECISION**

Finding: NOT GUILTY: 506 GUILTY: \_\_\_\_\_

Facts and evidence found:

506 - NOT GUILTY finding based on written report and inmate statement.

Sanction(s):

Not applicable.

Reason for sanction(s):

Not applicable.

WILL APPEAL  NO APPEAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
HEARINGS OFFICER

\_\_\_\_\_  
SUPERINTENDENT





DISCIPLINARY HEARING MINUTES AND FINDINGS

OFFENDER NAME (LAST, FIRST) \_\_\_\_\_ DOC NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OF HEARING 10/6/03 TIME OF HEARING TBA INFRACTION DATE 10/2/03  
10-6-03 1012

WAIVED 24 HOURS NOTICE  YES  NO  
WAIVED APPEARANCE  YES  NO

OFFENDER'S PLEA: GUILTY \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY 506

INTERPRETER:  YES  NO NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

STAFF ADVISOR:  YES  NO NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPETENCY CONCERN:  YES  NO HEARING IMPAIRED:  YES  NO

SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY (LIST WITNESSES TESTIFYING/EVIDENCE USED/FINDINGS/ REASONS FOR CONTINUANCES, DECISIONS, AND SANCTIONS/ANY RELEVANT INFORMATION):

*THE statement is only in part not full.  
I ASKED HIM IF HE REMOVED MY SON SAUCE FROM MY SALAD. HE SAID "YES" I TOLD HIM I WOULD DEAL WITH MR LAMAS ABOUT THIS. I DID NOT THREATEN HIM PHYSICALLY.*

INFRACTION NO.	FOUND		REASON
	GUILTY	NOT GUILTY	
<u>506</u>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>WRITTEN REPORT + INMATE STATEMENT</u>

SANCTION(S): N/A

I HAVE RECEIVED A COPY OF THIS FORM  YES  NO  
*I AM UNABLE TO SIGN DUE TO RECENTLY*

OFFENDER OR STAFF WITNESS SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 10-6-03 TIME 1020

HEARING OFFICER SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_ DATE 10-6-03 TIME 1020



INITIAL SERIOUS INFRACTION REPORT

MSVB

DATE OF INFRACTION 10-02-03	OFFENDER NAME (LAST, FIRST) [REDACTED]	DOC NUMBER [REDACTED]	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT [REDACTED]
RULE VIOLATION #(S) 506 FO1537			
TIME OCCURRED 1749	<input type="checkbox"/> A.M. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P.M.	PLACE OF INCIDENT (BE SPECIFIC) Dining Hall Feeding Line	DATE OCCURRED 10-02-03
WITNESS (1)	DAYS OFF	WITNESS (3)	DAYS OFF
WITNESS (2)	DAYS OFF	WITNESS (4)	DAYS OFF

NARRATIVE

STATE A CONCISE DESCRIPTION OF THE DETAILS OF THE RULE VIOLATIONS, COVERING ALL ELEMENTS AND ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS OF WHEN? WHERE? WHO? WHAT? WHY? AND HOW?; DESCRIBE ANY INJURIES, PROPERTY DAMAGE, USE OF FORCE, ETC.; ATTACH ALL RELATED REPORTS.

A suspect 1749 hrs Tamara [REDACTED] stated in the dining line did you remove my bag cause from my table I replied yes I did [REDACTED] stated that's alright I've got something for you I provided this as a deposing statement.


REPORTING STAFF NAME (LAST, FIRST) Young Kelly D.	SHIFT 3rd	DAYS OFF Fri-Sat.
EVIDENCE TAKEN <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	EVIDENCE CASE NUMBER	EVIDENCE LOCKER NUMBER
DISPOSITION OF EVIDENCE (IF NOT PLACED IN LOCKER)		PHOTO SUBMITTED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
RELATED REPORTS ATTACHED <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL <input type="checkbox"/> BACKGROUND MEMOS <input type="checkbox"/> STAFF WITNESS STATEMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> MEDICAL <input type="checkbox"/> TELE-INCIDENT <input type="checkbox"/> USE OF FORCE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY)		PLACED IN PRE-HEARING CONFINEMENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
REPORTING STAFF SIGNATURE [Signature]	WASHINGTON CORRECTIONS CENTER FOR WOMEN HEARINGS OFFICE	DATE 10-02-03
INFRACTION REVIEW OFFICER SIGNATURE [Signature]	OCT 9 2003	DATE 10-2-03



INFRACTION REVIEW CHECKLIST

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Examine the infraction to ensure that each field is filled in properly and written legibly.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ensure the offender's name and DOC number are recorded correctly.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Read the infraction report narrative and ensure the following elements are included: <input type="checkbox"/> Who? <input type="checkbox"/> What? <input type="checkbox"/> Where? <input type="checkbox"/> Why? <input type="checkbox"/> How?
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check to be sure that the infraction violations at the top of the report correspond with the written information <i>and</i> are appropriate for the incident.  NOTE: The reviewer may; 1) require that the report be revised, rewritten, or reinvestigated by the reporting staff member to ensure the alleged facts support the charges, or 2) add, dismiss, delete or reduce the indicated WAC violations as appropriate, based upon the information and/or evidence provided by the reporting staff and any mitigating factors.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ensure the report is factual, without assumptions, feelings, beliefs or what the reporting staff "thinks" may have happened.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ensure the report includes supporting documentation if the incident included: <input type="checkbox"/> Injuries? Medical Response? <input type="checkbox"/> Witnesses? <input type="checkbox"/> Property Damage? <input type="checkbox"/> Use of Force? <input type="checkbox"/> Teleincident Report? <input type="checkbox"/> Other Supplemental Information?
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ensure all evidence has been collected, secured, and logged properly in accordance with policy and facility procedures. Did you document: <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence taken? <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence Case Number assigned? <input type="checkbox"/> Whether or not the evidence was placed in an evidence locker? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The disposition of the evidence if not placed in locker? <input type="checkbox"/> Photos submitted?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Complete the "Placed in Pre-hearing Confinement" field by checking the "Yes" or "No" boxes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	If confidential information has been submitted, have you: <input type="checkbox"/> Reviewed the information to ensure it is consistent with other reports? <input type="checkbox"/> Checked to ensure the documents are marked or stamped as "Confidential"? <input type="checkbox"/> Requested that the staff who received the information initiate DOC 05- 392, Confidential Information Report and forward it to designated facility staff?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The Initial Serious Infraction report (with attachments, if any) is complete. Sign and date the Initial Serious Infraction Report on the line labeled "Infraction Review Officer Signature" (Signature <i>must</i> be legible).
<input type="checkbox"/>	Send the infraction report and any supporting documents to the hearing clerk or designated facility staff.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The Initial Serious Infraction report has been reviewed and is being returned for the following reason( s): Reason:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Promptly resubmit the infraction report with the corrected / appropriate information, including this Infraction Review Checklist.

REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE 	PRINT NAME LT D. Weener	DATE 6/2/03
--	----------------------------	----------------



OFFENDER NAME [REDACTED]	DOC NUMBER [REDACTED]	FACILITY WCCW	DATE
TYPE OF REVIEW <input type="checkbox"/> DISCIPLINARY <input type="checkbox"/> ADMINISTRATIVE <input type="checkbox"/> CLASSIFICATION <input type="checkbox"/> EARNED TIME <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL (specify) .....	HEARING SCHEDULED FOR: DATE 10/13/03	LOCATION Hearing Office	TIME <input type="checkbox"/> A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> P.M.

REASON FOR HEARING (INCLUDE ALL ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT IF APPROPRIATE)

Charged with violation of 506

INTERPRETER NAME / DATE  I HAVE BEEN PROVIDED A CERTIFIED SIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER  
 I HAVE BEEN PROVIDED WITH A SPANISH TRANSLATION OF THE CHARGES AGAINST ME ON SE ME HA DADO UNA TRADUCCION AL ESPANOL DE LOS CARGOS EN ME CONTRA EL DIA

DATE/ FECHA \_\_\_\_\_ AT \_\_\_\_\_ TIME / HORA \_\_\_\_\_ OFFENDER SIGNATURE / FIRMA DE OFENSOR \_\_\_\_\_

OFFENDER RIGHTS:

- YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT AT THE HEARING, IF YOU CHOOSE TO REMAIN SILENT, YOUR SILENCE MAY BE USED AGAINST YOU AND THE DECISION WILL BE BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED.
- YOU MAY WAIVE YOUR APPEARANCE AT THE HEARING.
- YOU MAY REQUEST WITNESS STATEMENTS: (List Witnesses Below)

STAFF NAME	POSITION	OFFENDER NAME	DOC NUMBER

CRIMINAL CHARGES MAY BE PENDING. ANYTHING YOU SAY HENCEFORTH MAY BE USED AGAINST YOU IN A COURT OF LAW

STATUS OF CRIMINAL CHARGES:  NONE     UNKNOWN     PENDING IN \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY \_\_\_\_\_ CHARGES \_\_\_\_\_

- YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO REVIEW ALL RELATED REPORTS AND A SUMMARY OF ANY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.
- YOU MAY REQUEST A STAFF ADVISOR (If approved by the Hearing Officer).     REQUESTED     WAIVED
- YOU MAY REQUEST AN INTERPRETER (If unable to speak and/or understand the English language).     REQUESTED     WAIVED
- YOU MAY REQUEST A CERTIFIED SIGN LANGUAGE INTERPRETER IF YOU ARE HEARING IMPAIRED.     REQUESTED     WAIVED
- YOU MAY APPEAL THE DECISION AND/OR SANCTIONS TO THE FACILITY SUPERINTENDENT/SUPERVISOR.
- IF YOU ARE AN INDETERMINATE SENTENCE CASE AND WITHIN 60 DAYS OF AN ESTABLISHED RELEASE DATE, A GUILTY FINDING COULD RESULT IN THE CANCELLATION OF YOUR RELEASE DATE.
- [REDACTED] NUMBER [REDACTED] WAIVE MY RIGHT TO THE REQUIRED 48 HOURS NOTICE PRIOR TO BEING SEEN BY THE (CLASSIFICATION/ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION) HEARING OFFICE AND AUTHORIZE THE HEARING OFFICER TO MAKE A DISPOSITION REGARDING THE INFORMATION AND EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE HEARING OFFICER AS PERTAINS TO MY PARTICULAR SITUATION.
- [REDACTED] NUMBER [REDACTED] WAIVE MY RIGHT TO THE REQUIRED 24 HOURS NOTICE PRIOR TO BEING SEEN BY THE (DISCIPLINARY) HEARING OFFICER AND AUTHORIZE THE HEARING OFFICER TO MAKE A DISPOSITION REGARDING THE INFORMATION AND EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE HEARING OFFICER AS PERTAINS TO MY PARTICULAR SITUATION.
- [REDACTED] NUMBER [REDACTED] WAIVE MY RIGHT TO ATTEND THIS SCHEDULED HEARING. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE HEARING WILL BE HELD IN MY ABSENCE.

COPY OF THIS FORM AND INFRACTION WITH ATTACHMENTS, RECEIVED.

[REDACTED] 10/13/03 11:20    [Signature] 10/13/03 16:20  
OFFENDER/WITNESS SIGNATURE    DATE    TIME    STAFF SIGNATURE    DATE    TIME



STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Horocco 19

**INMATE'S KITE  
PAPELETA DE PETICION DEL RECLUSO**

INMATE NAME / PRINT - NOMBRE DEL RECLUSO / LETRA DE MOJER		
[REDACTED]		
DO / NUMBER / NÚMERO	UNIT / CELL / UNIDAD / CELDA	DATE / FECHA
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	10-2-03
DESIRE INTERVIEW WITH OR ANSWER FROM / DESEO ENTREVISTA CON O RESPUESTA DE		

Interpreter needed for \_\_\_\_\_ (language).  
Necesito intérprete para \_\_\_\_\_ (Idioma).

**REASON / QUESTION  
RAZON / PREGUNTA**

ms. horocco I am in seg on a bogus charge, I never threatened h. young, I told him I was talking on him for tampering with my food. He has been causing me a hard time over the serving line & I will explain why in person; please come to see

SIGNATURE / FIRMA

[REDACTED]

DAYS OFF / DIAS LIBRES me

**RESPONSE  
RESPUESTA**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

RESPONDER / PERSONA QUE RESPONDE

DATE / FECHA

Distribution: WHITE/YELLOW-Responder, YELLOW-Return to Offender with Response, PINK-Offender keeps  
Distribución: BLANCA/AMARILLA-Persona que responde, AMARILLA-Devuelva al recluso con respuesta, ROSA-Se le queda al recluso  
DOC 21-473 E/S (11/88) OCO

Lorocco #19



STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

**INMATE'S KITE  
PAPELETA DE PETICION DEL RECLUSO**

[REDACTED]		
DOC NUMBER / NUMERO DOC	UNIT, CELL / UNIDAD, CELDA	DATE / FECHA
[REDACTED]	LEC	10/4/03
DESIRE INTERVIEW WITH OR ANSWER FROM / DESEA ENTREVISTA CON O RESPUESTA DE HEARINGS Lt.		

Interpreter needed for \_\_\_\_\_ (language).  
Necesito intérprete para \_\_\_\_\_ (idioma).

**REASON / QUESTION  
RAZON / PREGUNTA**

I waived my 24-48  
hour notice so please  
conduct my hearing  
as your schedule permit  
Thanks

[REDACTED]	DAYS OFF / DIAS LIBRES
------------	------------------------

**RESPONSE  
RESPUESTA**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

RESPONDER / PERSONA QUE RESPONDE	DATE / FECHA
----------------------------------	--------------

Distribution: WHITE/YELLOW-Responder, YELLOW-Return to Offender with Response, PINK-Offender keeps  
Distribución: BLANCA/AMARILLA-Persona que responde, AMARILLA-Devuelva al recluso con respuesta, ROSA-Se le  
queda al recluso  
DOC 21-473 E/S (11/89) OCO

**GSR  
POLYGRAPH SERVICES**

William D. Tufts  
1409 18<sup>th</sup> Ave CT. SW  
Puyallup, WA 98371

**POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT**

TO: Investigator Steve Baxter  
Department of Corrections  
Washington Corrections Center for Women  
PO Box 17  
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

---

**Subject:** [REDACTED] **Examination Date:** 10/08/03  
**DOB:** [REDACTED] 1967 **Offense:** Statement Verification  
**Requested by:** Investigator Steve Baxter

---

**Purpose of Examination:** To verify or refute the subject's allegations that Larry Young kissed her and performed oral sex on her.

---

Prior to the polygraph examination, the subject was advised of her Polygraph Rights and waived these rights by signing the attached form.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A polygraph examination was administered to the subject on the above issues.

Based on the physiological responses produced by the subject on three (3) polygraph charts, in the opinion of this examiner, she was attempting deception when she answered "yes" to the following relevant questions:

1. Has Larry Young ever performed oral sex on you?
2. Has Larry Young ever kissed you?

A second examiner, Eric Killian, has reviewed this examination and concurs with the numerical evaluation and conclusions.



Examiner: William D. Tufts

October 9, 2003

Date of Report

Attachment (2)



**GSR**  
**POLYGRAPH SERVICES**

William D. Tufts  
1409 18<sup>th</sup> Ave CT. SW  
Puyallup, WA 98371

**POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT**

TO: Investigator Kelly Kelly  
Department of Corrections  
Washington Correction Center for Women  
PO Box 17  
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

---

**Subject:** [REDACTED]      **Examination Date:** 10/14/03  
**DOB:** [REDACTED] 1976      **Offense:** Statement Verification  
**Requested by:** Investigator Kelly Kelly

---

**Purpose of Examination:** To verify or refute the subject's allegation that Larry Young told you that he loved Inmate Alzheimer and if you saw Inmate [REDACTED] rub Larry Young's leg.

Prior to the polygraph examination, the subject was advised of her Polygraph Rights and waived these rights by signing the attached form.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A polygraph examination was administered to the subject on the above issue.

Based on the physiological responses produced by the subject on four (4) polygraph charts, in the opinion of this examiner, she was **not** attempting deception when she answered "yes" to the following relevant question:

1. Did you see Inmate [REDACTED] ever rub Larry Young's leg?

Based on the erratic physiological responses produced by the subject on four (4) polygraph charts, in the opinion of this examiner, chart recordings were not adequate to form a definite opinion as to truth or deception when she answered "yes" to the following relevant question:

1. Did Larry Young ever tell you that he loved Inmate [REDACTED]?

A second examiner, Eric Killian, has reviewed this examination and concurs with the numerical evaluation and conclusions.

  
Examiner: William D. Tufts

October 15, 2003  
Date of Report

Attachment ( 3 )

On several occasions I have spoken to Larry Young about fixing the kitchen worker food items that are not on the menu. Such as Fried chicken instead of Baked. I have not seen him fixing items for just one inmate but for the whole shift. I do not know of any hot wings being prepared. The time he use the Grease for the deep fryer was on a Sunday for Dinner in the MSC kitchen which was suppose to be baked Chicken ~~on~~ <sup>on</sup> the menu.

  
10-08-03

# EVIDENCE # 1

